arXiv:1601.07812v1 [math.GR] 28 Jan 2016

MAXIMAL ORDER ABELIAN SUBGROUPS OF COXETER GROUPS AS DISCRETE MAXIMAL TORI

JOHN M. BURNS AND GOETZ PFEIFFER

Abstract: In this note we give a classification of the Maximal order Abelian subgroups of finite irreducible Coxeter groups. We also study the geometry of these subgroups and give some applications of the classification.

1. Introduction

Some years ago colleagues working in the area of statistical mechanics asked what the maximal order Abelian subgroups of the symmetric group S_n looked like. Their question arose from consideration of reducible representations constructed from tensor products of unitary representations arising in the statistical mechanics of systems of n quantum spins. In particular they wanted to understand the situation as $n \to \infty$. A complete classification can be derived from general results in [29] and a classification was given in a more general setting in [16]. An elementary classification was given in [8] using Lagrange multipliers. This method indicates that in order to maximize the product $\prod m_i$ of the prime powers m_i (the Abelian invariants) subject to the constraint $\sum m_i \leq n$ (because it's an Abelian subgroup of S_n), all (or as many as possible) of the integers m_i should be chosen equal (to m say). The problem then amounts to maximizing $m^{\frac{n}{m}}$ and regarding this as a function of a real variable having a maximum at e, we would expect that the solution to the integer-valued problem (and therefore the maximal order of an Abelian subgroup of S_n) is of the form 3^k, since $2^{\frac{n}{2}} < 3^{\frac{n}{3}}$. This is essentially the case (see Theorem 1.2 below). In this note we give a complete classification of the maximal order Abelian subgroups M for all finite irreducible Coxeter groups. We will see that they are closely related to geometric objects associated with W. For example, in the case of E_6 the Cayley-Salmon theorem [10] yields twenty seven lines on a non-singular cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and a maximal order Abelian subgroup M corresponds (via its orbits in the 27 lines) to a Steiner triad configuration of the lines. We will also consider some maximal Abelian subgroups that arise naturally, such as maximal order Abelian 2-subgroups of $W(E_6)$ (corresponding to tritangent planes), but a complete classification of these will be given in a subsequent note. We also determine the number of conjugacy classes of maximal order Abelian subgroups and viewing these subgroups (or a distinguished subclass in some cases) as discrete analogues of maximal tori, we obtain a Weyl group analogue (for W) of Cartan's Theorem that all maximal tori in a connected compact Lie group G are conjugate, namely:

Theorem 1.1. *Let M and M' be discrete maximal tori of W, then $M' = w^{-1}Mw$ for some $w \in W$.*

Continuing the analogy we consider the normalizer quotient $W(M) = N_W(M)/M$ (the Weyl group of W!). These discrete Weyl groups we will see are the symmetry groups of the geometry of the orbit space (for the action of M) on the weights of miniscule (or semi-miniscule) irreducible representations of the corresponding Lie algebra. We will see, for example in the case of E_7, that $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^7$, and the orbit space geometry (with lines and points the orbits and generators of M respectively) for the (miniscule) 56 dimensional representation is that of the Fano plane. $W(M) \simeq PSL(2,7)$ and it appears naturally as a discrete symmetry group of the associator calibration in the sense of [25]. In the case of E_8, $W(M)$ is naturally a discrete symmetry group of the Cayley calibration. Motivated by the original question from statistical mechanics, we decompose the restrictions of these representations to the Lie subgroups that naturally determine M. In this context we relate the intriguing relation between quantum information theory and supergravity, discovered by M.J. Duff and S. Ferrara (see [17], [11]) to our results. In particular, our results suggest a generalisation of the relation between the tripartite entanglememt of seven qubits, the (miniscule) 56 dimensional representation of E_7 and the Fano plane (see [11]), to E_8, the quatripartite entanglememt of eight qubits and the one-point extension of the Fano plane described in [32]. This generalization seems quite natural in terms of the series of Lie algebras corresponding to the Del Pezzo surfaces, and the geometries of the exceptional lines on these surfaces. The first author would like to thank the Centre de Mathématiques et d'informatique, Université de Provence, Aix-Marseille I, where part of this work was carried out and in particular Professors Oeljeklaus and Short for their hospitality. We also thank Professor Robert Bryant for pointing out the connection of our results to the theory of calibrations. We now recall the precise solution for S_n (i.e. W of type A_{n-1}) and then state the general result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an Abelian subgroup of maximal order in the symmetric group S_n. Then*

(i) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^k$ *if* $n = 3k$,
(ii) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^k \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ *if* $n = 3k+2$,
(iii) *either* $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^{k-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ *or* $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^{k-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ *if* $n = 3k+1$.

Since the case of the root system of type A_r is settled by the above theorem we will exclude this case from the statement of the general result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be an Abelian subgroup of maximal order in a finite irreducible Coxeter group W of rank r, then:*

(a) *(W crystallographic)*
  (i) *For W of type B_r/C_r we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_4^t$ where $0 \leq s,\ t$ with $s + 2t = r$ and $|M| = 2^r$.*
  (ii) *For W of type D_{2k} ($r = 2k$) we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{2k}$ and $|M| = 2^r$.*
  (iii) *For W of type D_{2k+1} ($r = 2k+1$) we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^s \times \mathbb{Z}_4^t$ where $0 \leq s,\ t$ with $s + 2t = r - 1$ and $|M| = 2^{r-1}$.*
  (iv) *For W of type E_6, we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^3$, and $|M| = 3^3$.*
  (v) *For W of type E_r, $r = 7, 8$ we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ and $|M| = 2^r$.*
  (vi) *For W of type F_4 we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3^2$, and $|M| = 2.3^2$.*

(vii) *For W of type G_2 we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, and $|M| = 2.3$.*

(b) *(W noncrystallographic)*

(i) *For W of type H_3 we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$, and $|M| = 2.5$.*

(ii) *For W of type H_4 we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_5^2$, and $|M| = 2.5^2$.*

(iii) *For W of type $I_2(m)$ we have $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m$ and $|M| = m$.*

2. Basic facts and definitions

All basic facts and definitions used can be found in [6] or [27]. Let (W, S) be an irreducible finite Coxeter system of rank r with $S = \{s_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, s_{\alpha_r}\}$ its set of simple reflections. When W is a Weyl group (W crystallographic) we have an associated connected compact Lie group G (with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$), containing (a fixed) maximal torus T (with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}$) so that Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$ be the root space decomposition of the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$ w.r.t. $\mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}}$ (the complexification of $\mathfrak{t}$), then a root α is an element of the dual spaces $\mathfrak{t}^*$ (pure imaginary valued) or $i\mathfrak{t}^*$ (real valued). Since G is compact the Killing form is negative definite on $\mathfrak{t}$ and gives an ($\mathrm{Ad}(G)$ invariant) real inner product $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ on the real vector spaces $i\mathfrak{t}$ and $i\mathfrak{t}^*$. For $w \in N_G(T)$, $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ we define $w(H) = \mathrm{Ad}(w)H = wHw^{-1}$ and $w(\alpha)(H) = \alpha(\mathrm{Ad}(w^{-1})H)$, and since $\mathrm{Ad}(T)$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{t}$ we obtain (faithful) induced actions of W. Choosing a fundamental Weyl chamber in $i\mathfrak{t}$ we can define positive roots Φ^+ and $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ a basis of positive simple roots whose simple reflections generate W. The fundamental weights $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r\}$ are defined by the conditions that $\langle \omega_i, 2\alpha_j \rangle := \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle \delta_{ij} \ \ \forall\ i, j$. We will normalize $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ so that the highest root $\tilde{\alpha}$ has length squared equal to two. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Re$ we define (integers) $n(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$.

The Dynkin diagram D is the (multi) graph with r vertices (labelled by the positive simple roots), and $c_{ij}c_{ji}$ edges joining α_i to α_j where $c_{ij} = n(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)$. The extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{D}$ (always labelled as in [6]) is the graph constructed from D by adding a new vertex $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$ (the affine vertex or node) and joining it to any vertex α_i by (the old rule of) $n(\alpha_i, \tilde{\alpha}) \cdot n(\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha_i)$ edges. We then write the coefficient n_i over the vertex α_i and $n_0 = 1$ over α_0, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} n_i \alpha_i$. Deletion of any vertex from $\tilde{D}$ and the edges connected to it, produces a new (typically non connected) Dynkin diagram D_1 (with the same number of vertices as D) of a semi-simple Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ is said to obtained from $\mathfrak{g}$ by an elementary operation. Of course we can perform a new elementary operation on any of the connected components of D_1. Continuing this process we obtain a chain of subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \supseteq \ldots \supseteq \mathfrak{g}_m$, each obtained from its predecessor by an elementary operation and any semi-simple Lie subalgebra of maximal rank is obtained by a finite number of elementary operations (see [21], [33]). Note that when a diagram of type A_n occurs an elementary operation does not change the algebra. Among the maximal rank Lie subalgebras are those corresponding to maximal subgroups of maximal rank in G and we recall from [37] the following for later use:

The fundamental simplex

$$\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{h \in i\mathfrak{t} : \alpha_i(h) \geq 0 \, \forall\, i, \tilde{\alpha}(h) \leq 1\}$$

has vertices $\{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ where $v_0 = 0$, $\alpha_i(v_j) = \frac{1}{n_i}\delta_{ij}$ and it has the property that every element of G (connected and centerless) is conjugate to an element of $\exp(2\pi i\mathfrak{D}_0)$. The conjugacy classes of maximal connected subgroups of maximal rank in G are obtained from it by a theorem of Borel and de Siebenthal which we now recall.

Theorem 2.1. *([4, 37]) Let G be a compact centerless simple Lie group with fundamental simplex $\mathfrak{D}_0 = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ and let $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

(i) *Suppose that $n_i = 1$, then the centralizer of the circle group $\{\exp(2\pi i t v_i) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a maximal connected subgroup of maximal rank in G with $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ as a system of simple roots.*

(ii) *Suppose that n_i is a prime $p > 1$, then the centralizer of the element $\exp(2\pi i v_i)$ (of order p) is a maximal connected subgroup of maximal rank in G with $\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ as a system of simple roots.*

(iii) *Every maximal connected subgroup of maximal rank in G is conjugate to one of the above groups.*

Finally, the *trace* of a finite Abelian group $A = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_2} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{m_k}$ is the integer $\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} m_i$ (see [26]).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

For W of each possible type we first prove the existence of an Abelian subgroup of the required order and isomorphism type. We then check that W contains no Abelian subgroups of larger order, or other isomorphism types of Abelian subgroups of maximal order. For the exceptional crystallographic types (except G_2) and the non-crystallographic type H_4, the check involves computer calculations using the computer package CHEVIE for GAP [23]. In order to prove the existence we observe that if K is a connected subgroup of G of maximal rank (necessarily equal to that of G) then any maximal torus of K is also a maximal torus of G. The Weyl group $W(K)$ of K can therefore be identified with a subgroup of W the Weyl group of G. For W of type B_r/C_r, the elementary operation (in the extended Dynkin diagram of C_r) corresponding to deletion of the vertex connected to the $\alpha_0 = -\tilde{\alpha}$ vertex of $\tilde{D}$ (the vertex α_1) gives the Dynkin diagram D_1 of a semisimple subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ with corresponding maximal rank subgroup of G of type type $A_1 \times C_{r-1}$. Repeating this process in the component of D_1 corresponding to C_{r-1} we eventually obtain a maximal rank subgroup of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times \cdots \times A_1$ (r copies) and hence a subgroup $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ of W by Theorem 1.1. This sequence of elementary operations (i.e. successive deletion of the vertex connected to $-\tilde{\alpha}$, in successive extended Dynkin diagrams) we will call the Wolf sequence (on account of its connection to Wolf spaces as we will see later), and it also produces a maximal rank subgroup of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times \ldots \times A_1$ (r copies) for types D_{2k} and E_r, $r = 7, 8$ and hence a subgroup $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ of W. The maximal order Abelian subgroups of W for type B_r/C_r containing direct factors isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ are also realised in the extended Dynkin diagram of C_r (recalling that the Weyl group for root systems of type B_2/C_2 is isomorphic to the dihedral group of order eight) as follows: As our first elementary operation we delete the vertex α_2 from $\tilde{D}$ to obtain the Dynkin

diagram D_1 of a semisimple algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ with corresponding maximal rank subgroup of G of type $C_2 \times C_{n-2}$. Repeating either this process or taking the Wolf sequence, in the component of D_1 corresponding to C_{n-2} we can eventually obtain any subgroup $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4^t \times \mathbb{Z}_2^s$ where $0 \leq s,\ t$ with $s + 2t = r$. In the case of W of type D_{2k+1} we note that not all subgroups listed arise from subgroups of maximal rank, e.g. the maximal order Abelian subgroup $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ when W is of type D_5. However the maximal order Abelian subgroups $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ both arise from a maximal rank subgroup of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times A_3$. The result follows however from the B_{2k} case by folding the D_{2k+1} diagram which comes from a regular embedding (taking a torus to a torus) of Lie groups (see [7]).

For W of type E_6, F_4 or G_2 the elementary operation of deletion of the vertex α_i such that $n_i = 3$, where $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i \alpha_i$, gives a maximal rank subgroup of type $A_2 \times A_2 \times A_2$, $A_2 \times A_2$ or A_2 respectively, giving rise to an Abelian subgroup M of W with $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^3$, $\mathbb{Z}_3^2$ or $\mathbb{Z}_3$ respectively. Since $-1 \in W$ for F_4 and G_2 and the centre $Z(W) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \langle -1 \rangle$ ([19]) we can extend these groups by $Z(W)$ in both these cases.

We now consider the noncrystallographic cases. For W of type $I_2(m)$ (the Dihedral groups) the result is clear. For W of type H_3 and H_4 the classification of their maximal proper subroot systems in [12] and [20] gives rise to Abelian subgroups M of W with $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_5$ (from a maximal subroot systems of type $I_2(5)$), and $\mathbb{Z}_5^2$ (from a maximal subroot systems of type $I_2(5) \times I_2(5)$) in H_3 and H_4 respectively. Extending these groups by their centers $Z(W) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$ gives the required Abelian subgroups M.

We now show that the obtained lower bounds on $|M|$ are also upper bounds and that there are no other isomorphism types of maximal order Abelian subgroups. Before doing so we remark (see [5]) that a subgroup of W isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p^s$ (p a prime) admits a faithful real representation of dimension r (on $\mathfrak{t}$) and therefore $s \leq r$ if $p = 2$ and $s \leq \frac{r}{2}$ if $p \neq 2$. There is therefore no larger order elementary Abelian 2-group in $W(B_r)$, $W(D_{2k})$, $W(E_7)$, or $W(E_8)$, and no larger order elementary Abelian 3-group in $W(E_6)$ than obtained above. In ruling out other possibilities we begin with the infinite families (i.e. classical types). We embed M in a symmetric group S_N and use the fact that we must then have $\mathrm{Tr}(M) \leq N$. Here the vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram $\tilde{D}$ with $n_i = 1$ and the maximal subgroup of maximal rank K corresponding to part (i) of Theorem 2.1. play a role. This subgroup is the isotropy subgroup of an Hermitian symmetric space $H = G/K$. Taking a maximal torus T of G to lie in K, the Weyl group W acts transitively and faithfully on the fixed point set $F(T, H)$ of the action of T on H. This set has cardinality equal to the Euler number $\chi(H)$ of H (which is small enough for our purposes when W is of type B_r or D_r) and its elements are pairwise antipodal on totally geodesic 2-dimensional spheres in H (see [34]). Algebraically, the points of $F(T, H)$ correspond to the weights of the corresponding miniscule representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the case of the simple Lie algebras of type B_r and D_r this is the vector representation of dimension $2r$ and W acts transitively and faithfully on the weights $\{\pm\lambda_1, \ldots, \pm\lambda_r\}$ of the representation, so that $\mathrm{Tr}(M) \leq 2r$. Now for B_r and D_r (r even) the center $Z(W) \simeq \langle -1 \rangle$ is contained in M so that the orbits Ω_k of M have even cardinality and M is the direct product of its restrictions to the orbits Ω_k. Since a transitive Abelian permutation group has order equal to its degree we can rule out elements of order three

in M since they must contribute at least six to $\mathrm{Tr}(M)$ and $|M|$. However $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ contributes six to $\mathrm{Tr}(M)$ and eight to $|M|$. The argument for ruling out higher torsion elements of M other than four is similar, so that 2^r is the maximal order of an Abelian subgroup in these cases. Again the case of D_{2k+1} follows from folding to B_{2k}. The remaining large order cases, are in the exceptional families and were verified by computer calculations.

Definition 3.1. (i) *The 2-rank of W is equal to the integer r_2 such that the maximal order of an elementary Abelian 2-subgroup of W is 2^{r_2}.*

(ii) *A pair of roots α and $\beta \in \Phi$ are said to be strongly orthogonal (s.o.) if neither $\alpha + \beta$ nor $\alpha - \beta$ is a root. A subset consisting of pairwise s.o. roots will be called a s.o. set of roots.*

We now have the following corollary to to Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 3.1. *Let W be the Weyl group of an irreducible root system, then the 2-rank (r_2) of W is equal to the maximal cardinality of a set of strongly orthogonal roots and $r_2 = r$ if and only if $-1 \in W$.*

Proof : As in the proof of Theorem 1.3 the Wolf sequence of elementary operations corresponding to successive deletion of the vertex connected to $-\tilde{\alpha}$ (in successive extended Dynkin diagrams) produces a maximal rank subgroup of G of type $A_1 \times A_1 \times \ldots \times A_1$ (with r copies), and corresponding maximal order elementary 2-subgroup $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r$ of W, unless we start with or encounter a diagram of type A_s with $2 \leq s$, in which case $r_2 < r$. This will occur only in diagrams of type A_r, D_r, r odd, or E_6, namely in those cases where $-1 \notin W$, and then r_2 takes the values $\lfloor (r+1)/2 \rfloor, r-1$ and 4 respectively. That the corresponding elementary 2-groups are of maximal order was checked by computer for E_6, follows from Theorem 1.3 for D_r, r odd and by induction for A_r. That these procedures also produces a set of s.o. roots of maximal cardinality r_2 follows from orthogonality in the simply-laced cases and from the classification of maximal sets of strongly orthogonal roots in [1] otherwise.

Definition 3.2. *A maximal order Abelian subgroup M (of a finite irreducible Coxeter group W) containing a maximal number of direct factors isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$ is called a discrete maximal torus of W .*

Remarks and examples: As we have seen in Theorem 1.3. most discrete maximal tori have no direct factors isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$. The Weyl group of Type A_3 is the Symmetric group S_4 and it already hints at the definition of a maximal torus (of W) . S_4 has three conjugacy classes of maximal order Abelian subgroups, those of $M_1 = \langle (1234) \rangle$, $M_2 = \langle (12), (34) \rangle$ and $M_3 = \langle (12)(34), (13)(24) \rangle$. Whereas M_2 and M_3 are isomorphic as abstract groups they are not as permutation groups, i.e. they are not conjugate in S_4. On the other hand the cycle (1234) is a Coxeter element and it generates (for W of any type) a maximal Abelian subgroup of W (in this case also of maximal order) and a distinguished conjugacy class. Similarly the Weyl group of Type B_2/C_2 has three conjugacy classes of maximal order Abelian subgroups, two of which are isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and the other (isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_4$) corresponding to the Coxeter element. Whereas the Abelian subgroup generated by the Coxeter element (although maximal) is no longer of maximal

order in higher rank, by Theorem 1.3. the above conjugacy class phenomenon persists for classical types (excluding D_{2k}).

We now prove an analogue (for W) of Cartan's theorem that all maximal tori of a compact connected Lie group G are conjugate in G.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M and M' be discrete maximal tori of W, then $M' = w^{-1}Mw$ for some $w \in W$.*

Proof : For W of type A_r we note that Theorem 1.1 and the definition of a maximal torus M of W imply that

(i) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^k$ if $r+1 = 3k$,
(ii) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^k \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $r+1 = 3k+2$, and
(iii) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^{k-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ if $r+1 = 3k+1$.

Since all direct factors correspond to disjoint cycles of length 2, 3 or 4, (with the sum of all lengths equal to $r+1$) and at most one transposition occurring, the result follows from the fact that permutations of the same cycle type are conjugate in S_n.

For W of type B_r, viewed as all signed permutations of $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$, i.e. injective maps from $\{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ to $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm r\}$, with either i or $-i$ in the image, elements can again be expressed in cyclic form, and the above argument generalizes. Cycles either contain both i and $-i$ (called negative cycles) and are of the form $(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k - i_1 - i_2 \cdots - i_k)$ or do not contain both i and $-i$ for any i (called positive cycles), and they occur in pairs of the form $(i_1 i_2 \ldots i_k)(-i_1 - i_2 \cdots - i_k)$. Since (as with ordinary permutations) conjugation by w of a signed permutation in cyclic form sends i to $w(i)$, two signed permutations are conjugate if and only if they have the same number of positive and negative cycles of every length. We now recall that a maximal torus M of W (by Theorem 1.3.) is of the form:

(i) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4^k$ if $r = 2k$ and
(ii) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_4^k \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ if $r = 2k+1$.

When $r = 2k$, the k commuting $\mathbb{Z}_4$ factors are negative cycles $(i_1 i_2, -i_1 - i_2)$ (Coxeter elements of a B_2/C_2 system), and we have that all maximal tori are conjugate. When $r = 2k+1$, the argument is the same because the additional $\mathbb{Z}_2$ factor must be a negative 1-cycle. The case of D_r (r odd) is similar.

We next consider those cases where a maximal torus is of the form $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r$, i.e. D_{2k} and E_r. Using the fact that for these cases $\langle -1 \rangle = Z(W)$ must be contained in M it is not hard to prove that M has a set of r generators, none of which is a product of commuting reflections. These generating reflections therefore yield a maximal set of orthogonal roots that are in fact strongly orthogonal as the root systems are simply-laced in the cases at hand. However by [1] all maximal subsets of strongly orthogonal roots are in the same Weyl group orbit for simply-laced root systems (the number of such W-orbits is the number of short simple roots) and therefore the corresponding stabilising sets of reflection generators of the discrete maximal tori are conjugate in W.

Similarily in the case of E_6, there is a unique W orbit of sets of three orthogonal roots ([9], [14]) and therefore (as we now show) a unique W orbit of subroot systems of type

$3A_2 = A_2 + A_2 + A_2$, so that all stabilizers (and therefore their M's) are conjugate. Let $\{\alpha_{1'}, \alpha_{3'}\}$, $\{\alpha_{5'}, \alpha_{6'}\}$ and $\{\tilde{\alpha'}, \alpha_{2'}\}$ be the simple roots of a $3A_2$ with $w(\alpha_{1'}) = \alpha_1$, $w(\alpha_{5'}) = \alpha_5$ and $w(\tilde{\alpha'}) = \tilde{\alpha}$ for some $w \in W$. We now show that in fact $w(\alpha_{2'}) \in \{\alpha_2, \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_2\}$, and an identical argument gives $w(\alpha_{6'}) \in \{\alpha_6, \alpha_5 + \alpha_6\}$ and $w(\alpha_{3'}) \in \{\alpha_3, \alpha_1 + \alpha_3\}$. Since $< \tilde{\alpha}, w(\alpha_{2'}) >=< w(\tilde{\alpha'}), w(\alpha_{2'}) >=< \tilde{\alpha'}, \alpha_{2'} >\neq 0$, we have that $w(\alpha_{2'})$ is one of the $2h - 4 = 20$ positive roots (where h is the Coxeter number (see [6]) that are non-orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$ (excluding $\tilde{\alpha}$ itself). Similarily $w(\alpha_{2'})$ must be orthogonal to α_1 so that $w(\alpha_{2'})$ is one of eight possible roots and finally, as it must also be orthogonal to α_3, we have that $w(\alpha_{2'}) \in \{\alpha_2, \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha_2\}$. For future reference we note that there are therefore 40 subroot systems of type $3A_2 = A_2 + A_2 + A_2$.

That all maximal order Abelian subgroups are conjugate for the cases G_2, F_4, H_3 and H_4, follows from Sylow's Second Theorem, as the groups $M/ < -1 >$ are Sylow p-subgroups of $W/ < -1 >$ with $p = 3$ or 5.

4. Discrete Weyl groups, Minuscule representations and Applications

In this section we further develop the discrete torus analogy and we define and calculate the discrete Weyl group of a Weyl group. We also determine the branching rules for the restrictions of certain miniscule representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ (or $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$) to the Lie subgroups that naturally determine the discrete maximal torus M . More precisely, we obtain these decompositions from the orbit structure of the weights under the action of M and relate this to the discrete Weyl groups. We also apply our results to the study of the configurations of the exceptional lines on Del Pezzo surfaces.

Definition 4.1. *Let W be a finite irreducible Coxeter group with discrete maximal torus M. The discrete Weyl group of W is the quotient group $W(M) = N_W(M)/M$.*

Remark: We remark that in those cases where a discrete maximal torus has a $\mathbb{Z}_4$ factor, W also has a unique conjugacy class of Abelian subgroups of type $\mathbb{Z}_2^r$ having the same discrete Weyl group. For example in the notation of the previous remark, we have $N_{S_4}(M_1)/M_1 \simeq N_{S_4}(M_2)/M_2$ and similarly for B_2/C_2.

4.1. **Minuscule representations.** In this section we consider the orbit space, for the action of a discrete maximal torus M, on the weights of miniscule representations. We also realise the discrete Weyl group $W(M)$ as the symmetry group of the orbit space geometry (with lines and points, the orbits and generators of M respectively). In order to cover the case of E_8, which has no minuscule representation, we also consider the geometric description of these weights as exceptional curves on del Pezzo surfaces S, which we now recall. The primary purpose of this description is in relation to E_6, the twenty seven lines on a non-singular cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and a surprising connection between maximal Abelian subgroups of $W(E_6)$ and the classical geometry of the configuration of the lines. As such it may be skipped at the expense only of the motivation for the notation and the explanation of the natural appearance of the adjoint (semi-miniscule) representation of E_8 later. We now recall the correspondence between del Pezzo surfaces S and certain root system lattices. The surface S (of degree d) is obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $n = 9 - d < 9$ points in general position $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ (i.e. replace each point by a

line, corresponding to a copy of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ of all lines through the point). We will donote these n (exceptional) lines on S by a_i, $0 < i < 9$ (i.e. $\pi(a_i) = p_i$, $\pi : S \to \mathbb{P}^2$), then the cohomo;ogg group $H^2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ is the free lattice with generators (the classes) $a_0, \ldots, a_n$, where a_0 is the class of the pre-image (strict transform) of a line in $\mathbb{P}^2$ and these form an orthonormal basis w.r.t. the negative of the intersection pairing. It is a lattice with signature $1, -n$ and discriminant 1 and the orthogonal complement of the canonical class ($K_S = 3a_0 - a_1 - \cdots - a_n$) is isomorphic to a root lattice of type $A_2 + A_1, A_4, D_5, E_6, E_7, E_8$ for $n = 3, \ldots, 8$. When $n \leq 6$, $-K_S$ embeds S in $\mathbb{P}^d$ as a surface of degree d (so the image of an exceptional curve is a line). The cases E_6, E_7 and E_8 are of particular interest and we now consider the role of M in these cases as well as that of some maximal subgroups of W (of non-maximal order) in the case of E_6. We first consider the simple example of D_5.

D_5 : Here we consider the orbits of a discrete maximal torus of W in the weights of the half spin representation with highest weight $\lambda_1 = \omega_5$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a discrete maximal torus of $W(D_5)$, then the discrete Weyl group $W(M) \simeq D_8$, the dihedral group of order 8.*

Proof : For simplicity (see the remark following Definition 4.1) we will compute the discrete Weyl group of a maximal order Abelian subgroup M' having the same discrete Weyl group as M. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, deleting the node connected to $-\tilde{\alpha}$ in the extended Dynkin diagram to obtain a maximal set of strongly orthogonal roots $\{\beta_1 = \tilde{\alpha}, \beta_2 = \alpha_5, \beta_3 = \alpha_1, \beta_4 = \alpha_4\}$. We now consider the orbits of $M' = \langle s_{\beta_1}, s_{\beta_2}, s_{\beta_3}, s_{\beta_4} \rangle$ in the weights of the minuscule representation with highest weight ω_5. To do so we first delete all edges in the diagram in figure 1 labelled by s_{α_2} (i.e. by 2) and s_{α_3} (i.e. by 3) and we join the weights that are not orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$ to their images under $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$. We then label the adjoined edges by β_1. The resulting four M'-orbits, i.e. four lines each involve two of the strongly orthogonal reflections, i.e. two points. In the incidence geometry of the orbit space of M, with points of the geometry the four reflections and lines the four orbits, we therefore obtain a square with symmetry group D_8. This result was verified by computer algebra.

E_6 : For the classical case of $n = 6$, a minuscule representation recovers the 27 lines of the Cayley-Salmon theorem on a smooth cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^3$ [10], consisting of (in the notation of Schläfli) the 6 lines a_i, $i = 1, \ldots 6$, the 6 strict transforms $b_i = 2a_0 - a_{i_1} - a_{i_1} - a_{i_2} - a_{i_3} - a_{i_4} - a_{i_5}$, (for 5 distince $i_1, \ldots, i_5 \in \{1, \ldots 6\}$) of the 6 (unique) conics passing through 5 of the 6 points, and the $\binom{6}{2}$ strict transforms c_{ij} of the lines through any pair of the 6 points. The pair of sets $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ form a *double-six* (one of 36) i.e. each set consists of six skew lines and each a_i intersects every b_j for $i \neq j$. In terms of the algebra, the 27 dimensional miniscule representation of E_6 decomposes relative to the maximal subgroup of maximal rank $SU(6) \times SU(2)$ (obtained from the extended Dynkin diagram by deletion of the α_2 node), into irreducible subspaces of dimensions 12 (a double six) and 15 (the c_{ij}), namely $\mathbb{C}^6 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\Lambda^2\overline{\mathbb{C}^6}$ (see [2]). This can be seen in figure 2 by deleting all edges labelled by the reflection s_{α_2} and joining vertices that are



FIGURE 1. The discrete Weyl group of $W(D_5)$

images of each other under the reflection $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$. The remaining intersection rules for the lines are that an a_i (or b_i) intersects c_{jk} if and only if $i \in \{j,k\}$ and c_{ij} intersects c_{kl} if and only if $\{i,j\} \cap \{k,l\} = \emptyset$. Continuing with the classical results of the nineteenth century, we have that the 27 lines lie in threes (forming 45 triangles) in 45 tritangent planes, 30 of the form $a_i b_j c_{ij}$ and 15 of the form $c_{ij}c_{kl}c_{mn}$ where $\{i,j,k,l,m,n\} = \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$. In addition the lines form 120 subsets of 9 lines (Steiner trihedral pairs) that are the intersection of two pairs of triples of tritangent planes. In addition the 120 subsets form 40 triads, each giving a trichotomy of the 27 lines as in the following example, where for any line, both the row and column in which it lies form tritangent planes:

c_{23}	a_3	b_2	c_{56}	a_6	b_5	c_{14}	c_{25}	c_{36}
b_3	c_{13}	a_1	b_6	c_{46}	a_4	c_{26}	c_{34}	c_{15}
a_2	b_1	c_{12}	a_5	b_4	c_{45}	c_{35}	c_{16}	c_{24}

Whereas triads, we will see, are closely related to a discrete maximal torus $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ of $W(E_6)$, tritangents are closely related to maximal Abelian subgroups $N \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ (a discrete maximal 2-torus) of W. There are three conjugacy classes of such N, with representatives N_1 (associated with a sub root system of type D_4), N_2 (associated with a sub root system of type B_4 folded from a D_5) and N_3 (associated with a sub root system of type $A_3 + 2A_1$)



FIGURE 2. The double six of $W(E_6)$

having $45, 27$ and 270 conjugates respectively.

$$N_1 =< s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_3}, s_{\alpha_5}, s_\beta >$$

where $\beta = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ is the highest root of a $D_4 \subset E_6$.

$$N_2 =< s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5}, (s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}}, (s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_3}}, (s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_1}} >$$

and

$$N_3 =< s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3}, (s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3})^{s_{\alpha_4}}, s_{\alpha_6}, s_{\alpha_6}^{(s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_3})^{s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_5}}} >,$$

where $w^v = v^{-1}wv$. The discrete maximal torus and 2-tori are related to different constructions of compactifications of the moduli space of nonsingular cubic surfaces together



FIGURE 3. The Octahedron

with a marking of its 27 lines involving the cross ratio variety. One such compactification due to I. Naruki [31] is a birational modification of a toric variety associated to the root system of type D_4 (related to N_1). A similar construction associated to the root system of type D_5 (related to N_2) was given by E. Colombo and B. van Geemen in [13] and a third construction associated to the the root system of type $3A_2$ (related to M) due to T. Kitazawa appears in [28]. For each tritangent plane t and a line on t there are four collinear tritangent planes (excluding t) through the line. In this way one obtains four points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ (the pencil of planes through the line) and therefore six cross ratios. Cayley showed that they only depend on the tritangent. There are therefore $270 = 6.45$ cross ratios.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_3^3$ be a maximal torus of $W(E_6)$ then:*

(i) *The three orbits of the 27 lines under M form a Steiner triad.*

(ii) *The discrete Weyl group $W(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_4$, the octahedral group.*

Proof : To prove (i) we observe that by Theorem 1.3 we may take as generators of M, a triple of commuting elements of order three of the form $\{\gamma_1 = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3}, \gamma_2 = s_{\alpha_5}s_{\alpha_6}, \gamma_3 = s_{\tilde{\alpha}}s_{\alpha_2}\}$. The orbits of the 27 lines (or weights) are therefore obtained by deleting all edges labelled 4 (corresponding to s_{α_4}) in figure 4 and joining the weights that are not orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$ to their images under $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$. We then label the adjoined edges by α_0 (corresponding to $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$). The resulting three M-orbits, each consisting of nine lines (which after rearrangement as in Remark (ii) below), form a Steiner triad that is stabilised by M. The result in (ii) was verified by computer but we give the following heuristic argument involving the incidence geometry of the orbit space of M. The points of the geometry are the six reflections $\{s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_3}, s_{\alpha_5}, \alpha_6, s_{\tilde{\alpha}}, s_{\alpha_2}\}$ involved in the generators of M and the lines correspond to the three orbits of M. We picture this information in the three circle model on a 2-sphere in figure 3. Each circle contains the four points determined by the four reflections appearing as edge labels in the corresponding M orbit, which also determines the intersection points of the circles. Flattening the semi-lunes of the background sphere yields an octahedron of which the octahedral group $W(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2 \times S_4$ is the group of symmetries.

Remarks (i) Part (i) above has an interpretation in terms of branching rules, namely the 27 dimensional miniscule representation V of E_6 with highest weight ω_1 decomposes relative to the maximal subgroup of maximal rank $SU(3) \times SU(3) \times SU(3)$ (obtained



FIGURE 4. The discrete Weyl group of $W(E_6)$

from the extended Dynkin diagram by deletion of the α_4 node) as $\bigoplus_{\sigma\in A_3}\sigma(V)$ where $V=\mathbb{C}^3\otimes\overline{\mathbb{C}^3}\otimes 1$ and σ permutes the factors cyclically.

(ii) Arranging the three M-orbits in figure 4 to form a cube we obtain in figure 5 the latticed cube from [28] in which all 45 tritangents are visible. All 27 lines appear there and the 27 intersection points at which 3 lines from the different perpendicular directions meet label (27) tritangents. For example the central point c_{25} of the top face of the cube labels the tritangent $a_2b_5c_{25}$. The remaining 18 tritangents can be read in the three faces, 6 in each as follows. Three lines in a face label a tritangent if and only if no pair of them lie on a vertical or horizontal lattice line. For example on the left hand face we can



FIGURE 5. The E_6 latticed cube

read 2 tritangents from the two diagonals, namely $a_3b_2c_{23}$ and $a_1b_2c_{12}$. the four remaining ones (obtained by avoiding the same lattice lines) are $a_2b_3c_{23}$, $a_2b_1c_{12}$, $a_1b_3c_{13}$ and $a_3b_1c_{13}$.

(iii) Choosing coordinates x_i on the line a_i, y_j on b_j and z_{ij} on c_{ij}, the three M orbits in figure 4 yield the matrices

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & z_{23} \\ x_2 & y_2 & z_{13} \\ x_3 & y_3 & z_{12} \end{pmatrix} M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ y_4 & y_5 & y_6 \\ z_{56} & y_{46} & z_{45} \end{pmatrix} M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} z_{14} & z_{24} & z_{34} \\ z_{15} & z_{25} & z_{35} \\ z_{16} & y_{26} & z_{36} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Cartan's cubic form in the corresponding 27 variables is then given by

$$F(M_1, M_2, M_3) := det(M_1) + det(M_2) + det(M_3) - tr(M_1M_2M_3)$$

(see ([15]).

(iv) In [18] an analogy between black holes in five dimensions and the bipartite entanglement of three qutrits (3-state systems in quantum information theory) is described. Both the black hole (or black string) entropy and the entanglement measure come from the Cartan cubic E_6 invariant of Remark (iii). If, as in the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 5.1, we consider the incidence geometry of the three M-orbits of the 27 lines, but taking the three generators of M as points (rather than the six reflections appearing in the generators), we obtain as entanglement diagram, a triangle with the orbits of M representing the quitrits and the three lines representing the entanglements.

Theorem 4.3. (i) *N_1 stabilises a_1, b_6, c_{16} and 6 pairs of tritangents. The discrete Weyl group $W(N_1) \simeq S_4$, the tetrahedral group.*

(ii) *N_2 stabilises b_6, $\{a_1, c_{16}\}$ and 10 pairs of tritangents. The discrete Weyl group $W(N_2) \simeq S_5$.*

(iii) *N_3 stabilises a_1, $\{b_6, c_{16}\}$ and 4 pairs of tritangents. The discrete Weyl group $W(N_3) \simeq S_3 \times S_2$.*



FIGURE 6. The Weyl group of a discrete 2-torus of E_6

Proof : The orbits of N_1 can be seen in figure 6. The three lines a_1, b_6 and c_{16} are all fixed by N_1 (and therefore the tritangent $t = a_1 b_6 c_{16}$ is also stabilised by N_1). The line a_1 together with the four lines in each of the two orbits (not containing any a_i) $\{b_2, c_{12}, b_3, c_{13}\}$ and $\{b_4, c_{14}, b_5, c_{15}\}$, yield the two stabilised pairs of tritangents $\{a_1 b_2 c_{12}, a_1 b_3 c_{13}\}$ and $\{a_1 b_4 c_{14}, a_1 b_5 c_{15}\}$. Similarly for b_6 and the four lines in each of the two N_1 orbits (not containing any b_j) $\{a_2, c_{26}, a_3, c_{36}\}$ and $\{a_4, c_{46}, a_5, c_{56}\}$ we obtain two further stabilised pairs of tritangents. Finally the line c_{16} and the two remaining orbits yield another pair. We note that a set of six cross ratios stabilised by N_1 is also visible from the above configuration, as the first two pairs of lines form the four other tritangents

conaining a_1 (apart from $t = a_1b_6c_{16}$) and therefore six cross ratios. Similarly b_6 and c_{16} give the same six cross ratios by Cayley's observation. N_1 therefore stabilises a set of six cross ratios and there are 45 such sets corresponding to the 45 conjugates of N_1. We remark that consideration of such pairs of tritangents seems quite natural as they provide four lines intersecting a stabilised line and they therefore give a cross ratio.

The discrete Weyl group $W(N_1)$ was obtain using a computer algebra system (as were $W(N_2)$ and $W(N_3)$) but we give the following heuristic argument involving the incidence geometry of the orbit space induced by N_1. The points of the geometry are the four generating reflections $\{s_{\alpha_2}, s_{\alpha_3}, s_{\alpha_5}, s_\beta\}$ (associated with a sub root system of type D_4) of N_1 and the six lines correspond to the six nontrivial orbits (or six pairs of tritangents). The two reflections (points) that generate an orbit (line) are the points that lie on that line and they determine that the incidence geometry is that of a tetrahedron, or the complete graph K_4 as each of the four points lies on three of the six lines. The tetrahedral group $W(N_1) \simeq S_4$ is therefore the group of symmetries of the geometry. To prove part (ii) we first delete all edges labelled 6 (corresponding to s_{α_6}) in figure 6, as it is not involved in the generators of N_2. The line b_6 now clearly forms a singleton orbit and we obtain five orbits of length two as follows. We observe that the generating reflection $s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5}$ interchanges the pair of lines $\{a_4, c_{46}\}$ and also the pair $\{a_5, c_{56}\}$. Similarly (as the sequence of edged in the paths from a_4 to a_1 and from c_{46} to c_{16} are both labelled $\{4, 3, 1\}$) the generating reflection $(s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}}$ interchanges the pair of lines $\{a_3, c_{36}\}$ and $(s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_3}}$ interchanges the pair of lines $\{a_2, c_{26}\}$. Finally the remaining generating reflection $(s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5})^{s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_1}} = s_{\alpha_1}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_2}s_{\alpha_5}s_{\alpha_4}s_{\alpha_3}s_{\alpha_1}$ interchanges the pair of lines $\{a_1, c_{16}\}$. The remaining non trivial orbit consists of sixteen lines that are the weights of a half spin representation of the corresponding D_5 . As in part (i), a choice of any pair of the five orbits of length two gives rise to a stabilised pair of tritangents or four lines intersecting b_6. Taking the ten pairs (or cross ratios) as the lines of our incidence geometry and the five orbits of of length two (or corresponding involutions) as the points, we see that each point lies on four of the ten lines giving the complete graph K_5 with symmetry group $W(N_2) \simeq S_5$. We note that whereas inclusion of either of the lines of the length two orbits in the squares of the orbit of length 16 gives pairs of tritangents, they are not stabilised by N_2. The proof of part (iii) is only slightly different in that instead of five orbits of length 2 we get three N_3 orbits of length 2 and one of length 4 and and $W(N_3) \simeq S_3 \times S_2$.

$\mathbf{E_7}$: For the case of $n = 7$ we suppress the geometry of the 56 lines on a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 and their connections to other geometric objects such as the 28 bitangents to a smooth quartic curve in $\mathbb{P}^2$ (see e.g.[24]). As -1 is in the Weyl group we simply label the 56 weights of the miniscule representation V with highest weight $\lambda_1 := \omega_7$ as $\pm\lambda_1, \ldots, \pm\lambda_{28}$ as in figure 9. We observe for later that the representation decomposes relative to the maximal subgroup of maximal rank $S^1 \times E_6$ (obtained from the extended Dynkin diagram by deletion of the α_7 node), into irreducible subspaces, two of dimension 27, V_1 and V_1^* (the minuscule representation of E_6 and its dual) and two of dimension



FIGURE 7. Fano Plane



FIGURE 8. The E_7 latticed cube

1. This is all visible in figure 9 by deletion of the edges labelled by s_{α_7}. The relabelling of the 27 weights as λ_i's is also illustrated in figure 9. More geometrically, if we realise a quartic curve n $\mathbb{P}^2$ as the 'shade' of a cubic surface $S \in \mathbb{P}^3$, the 28 bitangents are the projections of the 27 lines of S and one additional line.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^7$ denote the maximal torus of $W(E_7)$ then:*

(i) *The seven orbits of the* 56 *lines under M form a Fano plane.*
(ii) *The discrete Weyl group $W(M) \simeq PSL(2,7)$.*

Proof : The Wolf sequence of elementary operations outlined in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (deletions of nodes adjacent to the affine node in successive extended Dynkin diagrams) yields a maximal rank Abelian subgroup of type $(A_1)^7$ with a corresponding set of strongly orthogonal roots $\{\beta_1 = \tilde{\alpha}, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 = \alpha_2, \beta_5 = \alpha_3, \beta_6 = \alpha_5, \beta_7 = \alpha_7\}$, where $\beta_2 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$ (the highest root of a D_6 sub-system), $\beta_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ (the highest root of a D_4 sub-system). The reflections s_{β_i} then generate the maximal torus M of $W(E_7)$ and we now describe its orbits in the 56

weights. As the highest root is $\tilde{\alpha} = \omega_1$ we first delete the node α_1 from the extended diagram leaving that of an $A_1 \oplus D_6$. In figure 9 we therefore remove all edges labelled by s_{α_1} (i.e labelled 1) and join the weights that are not orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$ (those above the rungs labelled with a 1) to their images under $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$. As the next elementary operation is to extend the D_6 diagram (attach β_2 to α_6) and delete the α_6 node, leaving a diagram of type $3A_1 \oplus D_4$, we therefore remove all edges labelled by s_{α_6} in Diagram? and join the weights that are not orthogonal to β_2 to their images under s_{β_2} (see figure 10). The final elementary operation required is to extend the D_4 component (attach β_3 to α_4) and delete the α_4 node, leaving a diagram of type $7A_1$ with associated maximal torus (of $W(E_7)$) $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^7 =< s_{\beta_i} >$. We must therefore remove all edges labelled by s_{α_4} in figure 10 and join the weights that are not orthogonal to β_3 to their images under s_{β_3} giving a configuration of 7 cubes in figure 10. Considering the the incidence geometry of the orbits of M, with points of the geometry the seven reflections $\{s_{\beta_i}\}$ involved in the generators of M and lines the seven cubes (orbits), we observe that the three edges incident with any vertex of a given cube are all labelled by the same three reflections. Each line therefore contains three points. In addition each reflection appears in three cubes, so that each point lies on three lines, giving a Fano plane. We label the lines for future reference as: $l_1 = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_7\}$, $l_2 = \{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_6\}$, $l_3 = \{\beta_1, \beta_4, \beta_5\}$, $l_4 = \{\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$, $l_5 = \{\beta_2, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$, $l_6 = \{\beta_3, \beta_5, \beta_7\}$, $l_7 = \{\beta_4, \beta_6, \beta_7\}$. The discete Weyl group $W(M)$ is therefore $PSL(2,7)$ the symmetry group of the Fano plane, as was also verified by computer algebra.

Remarks (i) Part (i) of Theorem 4.4 has an interpretation in terms of branching rules. We denote by $V(m)$ the irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ (of dimension $m+1$) on the homogeneous polynomials of degree m in two complex variables, and by $L \in F$ a line L in the Fano plane F. Then the 56 dimensional miniscule representation V of E_7 with highest weight ω_7 decomposes relative to the maximal subgroup $SU(2)^7$ of maximal rank as $V = \bigoplus_{L \in F} V_L$ where $V_L = V(d_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes V(d_7)$ with $d_i = 1$ if $\beta_i \in L$ and $d_i = 0$ otherwise (see [11], [17]).

(ii) As in the case of E_6, where the orbits of the discrete maximal torus M of $W(E_6)$ appear naturally in the Cartan cubic invariant, in the case of E_7 the seven M orbits are related to Cartan's quartic E_7 invariant in the corresponding 56 variables. In our context this quartic invariant is most conveniently defined in terms of the previously defined cubic invariant, following [35]. For vector spaces U and W with a non-degenerate pairing $\langle , \rangle$ between them, and cubic forms F and G on U and W respectively, denote by F(, ,) the symmetric trilinear form such that $F(u) = F(u,u,u)$, for $u \in U$ and likewise for $G(,,)$. Now define a (symmetric, bilinear) product $(u_1, u_2) \to u_1 u_2$ (on U) by requiring that $3F(u, u_1, u_2) = \langle u, u_1 u_2 \rangle$ and similarly on W. Writing V as $V = V_1 \oplus V_1^* \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ as above, recall that elements of V_1 are triples $A = (M_1, M_2, M_3)$ (of 3×3) matrices obtained from the orbits of the maximal abelian subgroup $M \subset W(E_6)$ and $\langle (M_1, M_2, M_3), (M_1', M_2', M_3') \rangle = tr(M_1 M_1' + M_2 M_2' + M_3 M_3')$. Now for $v =$

(A, B, z, w), $v' = (A', B', z', w') \in V$ define $[v, v'] = \langle AB' \rangle - \langle A'B \rangle + zw' - zw'$ and $H(v) := \langle BB, AA \rangle - zF(A) - wG(B) - \frac{1}{4}(\langle A, B \rangle - zw)^2$. Then $[,]$ is a non-degenerate alternating bilinear form on the 56 dimensional vector space V, $H(v)$ is a quartic form on V and there exists a symmetric quadrilinear form $[,,,]$ on V such that $H(v) = [v, v, v, v]$ for $v \in V$. The seven orbits (of four lines and their negatives) of the maximal torus M of $W(E_7)$ are most easily related to Cartan's quartic E_7 invariant via their connection to the three orbits (of nine lines) of the maximal torus of $W(E_6)$ given as follows. In the latticed cube of figure 8 three of the seven orbits correspond to squares in the latticed cube, one in each face, while three others bridge the latticed faces. The seventh orbit (cube) involving the fundamental weight $\lambda_1 := \omega_7$ of E_7, of course can not be visible in the E_6 latticed cube.

(iii) Following [3] we can think of the oriented lines of the Fano plane (Figure 7) as describing the algebra structure of the octonians $\mathbb{O}$, where the seven square roots e_{i+1} of -1 (labelled as in [25]) replace our seven involutions s_{β_i} and $e_1 = 1$. ($e_2 = i, e_3 = j, e_4 = k, e_5 = e, e_6 = ie, e_7 = je, e_8 = ke$, so that $\{e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ span $Im(\mathbb{H})$.) Let $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_8$ denote the dual basis for $\mathbb{O}^*$ and let ω_{pqr} denote the 3-form $\omega_p \wedge \omega_q \wedge \omega_r$. The associator calibration in the sense of [25], is defined by the form $\phi = \omega_{234} - \omega_{278} - \omega_{638} - \omega_{674} - \omega_{265} - \omega_{375} - \omega_{485}$ and was shown by Robert Bryant to be invariant under the Lie group G_2. It is important for the study of volume minimising subvarieties of $\mathbb{R}^7 \simeq Im(\mathbb{O})$ and in the study of manifolds with exceptional holonomy. For the study of volume-minimizing 3-cycles in 7-dimensional tori, the discrete symmetry groups are important. To this end we observe that the M-orbits label the terms of ϕ as follows: Each term of ϕ is labelled exactly by the three points on a line of the dual (with lines and points interchanged) of our Fano plane. For example in Figure 7 we see that $\beta_1 = e_2$ lies on $l_1 = \omega_2$, $l_2 = \omega_3$ and $l_3 = \omega_4$, giving the first term of ϕ, and similarly we obtain the other terms. The form ϕ is therefore invariant under $PSL(2, 7)$. Taking the four complementary lines instead we obtain the Hodge dual $*\phi$, or coassociator calibration. There is also an interesting connection between the M-orbits and the classification of antipodal sets in oriented real Grassmann manifolds in [36].

(iv) In [17] an analogy between 8 black holes in four dimensions (related to the branching rules in Remark (i)) and the tripartite entanglement of seven qubits (2-state systems in quantum information theory) is described. Both the black hole (or black string) entropy and the entanglement measure come from the Cartan quartic E_7 invariant. The seven points of the Fano plane correspond to the seven quibits, and the three points on each line represent the entanglements.

$\mathbf{E_8}$: Although E_8 has no minuscule representation, the exceptional curves on the del Pezzo surfaces S obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^2$ in $n = 8$ points in general position have a convenient description as the 240 roots $\{\pm\alpha_1, \ldots, \pm\alpha_{120}\}$ of E_8. We now consider the orbits of the maximal torus M of $W(E_8)$ in these roots. Recall that by Theorem 1.3 $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^8$ is generated by reflections corresponding to strongly orthogonal roots $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_8$, obtained from the Wolf sequence of elementary operations. We therefore obtain eight (trivial) M orbits of the form $\{\pm\beta_i\}$. In addition as $\prod s_{\beta_i} = -1 \in W(E_8)$, any M orbit

contains the negative of any root in the orbit. We may therefore restrict our attention to the M orbits in the 120 positive roots. As this number of roots would necessitate rather large pictures we will instead exploit the quaternionic geometry of Wolf spaces corresponding to the Wolf sequence of elementary operations, in order to describe the M orbits. These spaces are symmetric spaces $M = G/K$, where K is locally a product $H \times A_1$, (where $A_1 \simeq SU(2) \simeq Sp(1)$) and as observed in [38] and [22] there is one for each compact simple Lie group G. As K has maximal rank, taking our maximal torus to lie in K we denote by n the number of positive roots of G minus the number of positive roots of K ($-\tilde{\alpha}$ and those orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$), which of course is the complex dimension of $M = G/K$. For these spaces

$$\mathfrak{k}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{t}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\tilde{\alpha}} \bigoplus \sum_{\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha \rangle = 0} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$$

and the isotropy representation

$$\mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{\langle \tilde{\alpha}, \alpha \rangle = 1} \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha}$$

inherits a quaternionic structure, in the sense that it has real dimension $4m = 2n$ ($m = g - 2$, where g is the dual Coxeter number) and H acts as a subgroup of $Sp(m)$ (see [38], [22]). G/K has therefore holonomy contained in $Sp(m)Sp(1)$ and is a quaternionic-Kähler manifold. That $n = 2m$ is even follows from the fact that the involution $\sigma : \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathfrak{m}^{\mathbb{C}}$ given by $\sigma(\alpha) = -s_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\alpha) = -s_{\beta_1}(\alpha)$ is fixed point free. These are the involutions that build our M orbits as hypercubes.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $M \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^8$ denote the maximal torus of $W(E_8)$ then:*

(i) *The 14 non-trivial orbits of the 240 lines under M form an extended Fano plane.*
(ii) *The discrete Weyl group $W(M) \simeq 2^3 : PSL(2,7)$, the semidirect product of an elementary Abelian group of order eight with $PSL(2,7)$.*

Proof : In this case the Wolf sequence of elementary operations yields a maximal rank Abelian subgroup of type $(A_1)^8$ with a corresponding set of strongly orthogonal roots $\{\beta_1 = \tilde{\alpha}, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5 = \alpha_2, \beta_6 = \alpha_3, \beta_7 = \alpha_5, \beta_8 = \alpha_7\}$, where $\beta_2 = 2\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + 3\alpha_3 + 4\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$ (the highest root of an E_7 sub-system), $\beta_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$ (the highest root of a D_6 sub-system) and $\beta_4 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ (the highest root of a D_4 sub-system). By the above we have a description of the positive roots $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{120}\}$ of E_8 as roots of $K = E_7 \times A_1$ ($\tilde{\alpha}$ and those not involving α_8) and a copy of the weights of the linear isotropy representation of K (the 56 dimensional minuscule representation of E_7) corresponding to the roots with α_8 coefficient equal to one. As the Wolf sequence for E_8 is that of E_7 with the additional elementary operation giving the above decomposition in terms of the roots of K and the minuscule representation of E_7, we again obtain seven M orbits of these 56 roots and their negatives each of which is now a hypercube (of 16 roots) involving $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and three other strongly orthogonal reflections from an E_7 cube. We remark at this stage that $s_{\tilde{\alpha}} = s_{\beta_1}$ will not appear in any of the other non-trivial M orbits as the roots of K (other than $\tilde{\alpha}$) are all orthogonal to $\tilde{\alpha}$. In terms of the incidence geometry of the M orbits (lines) and corresponding reflections (points) therefore s_{β_1} lies on exactly 7 lines. It remains only to to calculate the M orbits in

the 63 positive roots of E_7, which we again do using the next elementary operation of the Wolf sequence. This again splits the 63 roots as as roots of $K = D_6 \times A_1$ (β_2 and those not involving α_1 or α_8) and a copy of the linear isotropy representation of K (one of the 32 dimensional minuscule (spin) representations of D_6) corresponding to the roots with α_1 coefficient equal to one and not involving α_8. These form four M orbits of eight roots which along with their negatives yield four hypercubes. The 30 positive roots of the D_6 along with their negatives form three more hypercubes and six trivial orbits. We have therefore found $14 = 7 + 4 + 3$ hypercubes (224 roots) and 8 trivial orbits (16 roots). As each M orbit (a hypercube) involves four reflections, in terms of the incidence geometry therefore, every line contains four points. In addition each point lies on 7 lines, giving a one-point extension of the Fano plane configuration. The one-point extension of the Fano plane see [32] has eight points; the seven points of the Fano plane and one additional point. It has 14 lines each containing 4 points and each point lies on 7 lines. These lines are the lines of the Fano plane extended by the additional point plus the lines determined by the complements (in the 8 points) of these lines. The eight points can be identified with the vertices of a cube (see Figure 11) and the 14 lines are determined by sets of four vertices, corresponding to one of the following three types: (A) The vertices of the two regular tetrahedra inscribed in the cube. (B) The vertices of the six faces of the cube, and (C) the vertices of the six diagonal rectangles. Despite the different types of sets of 4 points they are all the same as lines under the action of the $\mathbb{Z}_2^3 = \langle (12)(34)(56)(78), (13)(24)(57)(68), (18)(27)(36)(45) \rangle$ of permutations of the vertices of the cube. From this we have that $W(M) \simeq 2^3 : PSL(2,7)$, as verified by computer algebra.

Remark In keeping with the quaternionic flavour of the Wolf sequence, the 14 lines (M-orbits) also have an interpretation in terms of quaternions and the 14 terms of the Cayley calibration (see [25]). As described above we can think of the oriented lines of the Fano plane (Figure 7) as describing the algebra structure of the octonians $\mathbb{O}$, where the seven square roots e_{i+1} of -1 (labelled as in [25]) replace our seven involutions s_{β_i} and $e_1 = 1$. Following [3] we can think of the oriented lines of the Fano plane (see Figure 11) as the Fano plane is the projective plane over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and every line through the origin in the vector space $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ contains a single nonzero element, the Fano plane can be thought of as the seven nonzero elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. Taking the origin in $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$ as correspondng to the octonian 1, we obtain the picture (in Figure 11) of the Fano plane in the octonians. We next observe that adjoining 1 to the 3 points of a line in the Fano plane gives a subalgebra of the octonians isomorphic to the quaternions. We now have seven such subalgebras (1 regular tetrahedron $\{1, e_6, e_3, e_4\}$, 3 faces of the cube and 3 diagonal rectangles). To obtain the extended Fano plane we replace the 1 (the origin in Figure 11) by e_1, and by taking complements all 14 lines acquire a quaternionic interpretation. This is perfectly reflected in the 14 terms of the Cayley calibration

$$\Phi = \omega_{1234} - \omega_{1278} - \omega_{1638} - \omega_{1674} - \omega_{1265} - \omega_{1375} - \omega_{1485}$$

$$+\omega_{5678} - \omega_{1278} - \omega_{1638} - \omega_{1674} + \omega_{1265} + \omega_{1375} + \omega_{1485}.$$

Here the indices of the first 7 terms are those of the associator calibration form ϕ with the index 1 adjoined, and the second 7 terms are labelled by the complementary sets of four lines in the extended Fano plane.



FIGURE 9. The discrete Weyl group of $W(E_7)$, part 1



FIGURE 10. The discrete Weyl group of $W(E_7)$, part 2



FIGURE 11. The extended Fano plane

5. Some explicit results.

5.1. **Type** A**.**

5.1.1. A_1. 1 maximal class of groups of order 2.

(2)	1

5.1.2. A_2. 1 maximal class of groups of order 3.

(3)	2

5.1.3. A_3. 3 maximal classes of groups of order 4.

(4)	2
$(2,2)$	2
$(2,2)$	S_3

5.1.4. A_4. 1 maximal class of groups of order 6.

$(2,3)$	2

5.1.5. A_5. 1 maximal class of groups of order 9.

$(3,3)$	D_8

5.1.6. A_6. 3 maximal classes of groups of order 12.

$(3,4)$	2^2
$(2,2,3)$	2^2
$(2,2,3)$	D_{12}

5.1.7. A_7. 1 maximal class of groups of order 18.

$(2,3,3)$	D_8

5.1.8. A_8. 1 maximal class of groups of order 27.

$(3,3,3)$	$2 \times S_4$

5.2. **Type** B**.**

5.2.1. B_2. 3 maximal classes of groups of order 4.

(4)	2
$(2,2)$	2
$(2,2)$	2

5.2.2. B_3. 3 maximal classes of groups of order 8.

$(2,4)$	2
$(2,2,2)$	2
$(2,2,2)$	S_3

5.2.3. B_4. 6 maximal classes of groups of order 16.

$(4,4)$	D_8
$(2,2,4)$	2^2
$(2,2,4)$	2^2
$(2,2,2,2)$	2^2
$(2,2,2,2)$	S_4
$(2,2,2,2)$	D_8

5.2.4. B_5. 6 maximal classes of groups of order 32.

$(2,4,4)$	D_8
$(2,2,2,4)$	2^2
$(2,2,2,4)$	D_{12}
$(2,2,2,2,2)$	D_{12}
$(2,2,2,2,2)$	D_8
$(2,2,2,2,2)$	S_5

5.2.5. B_6. 10 maximal classes of groups of order 64.

$(4,4,4)$	$2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,4,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,4,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	2^3
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	S_6
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_4$

5.2.6. B_7. 10 maximal classes of groups of order 128.

$(2,4,4,4)$	$2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,4,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,4,4)$	$D_8 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2^2 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times S_5$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_5$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$D_8 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	S_7
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_4$

5.2.7. B_8. 15 maximal classes of groups of order 256.

$(4,4,4,4)$	$((((2 \times D_8) : 2) : 3) : 2) : 2$
$(2,2,4,4,4)$	$2^2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,4,4,4)$	$2^2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,4,4)$	$D_8 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,4,4)$	$2^2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,4,4)$	$S_4 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2^2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2^2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times S_6$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,4)$	$2^2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	S_8
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_6$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$S_4 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2^2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$((((2 \times D_8) : 2) : 3) : 2) : 2$

5.3. **Type D.**

5.3.1. D_4. 1 maximal class of groups of order 16.

$(2,2,2,2)$	2^2

5.3.2. D_5. 6 maximal classes of groups of order 16.

$(4,4)$	D_8
$(2,2,4)$	D_{12}
$(2,2,4)$	2^2
$(2,2,2,2)$	S_5
$(2,2,2,2)$	D_{12}
$(2,2,2,2)$	D_8

5.3.3. D_6. 1 maximal class of groups of order 64.

$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	S_4

5.3.4. D_7. 10 maximal classes of groups of order 64.

$(4,4,4)$	$2 \times S_4$
$(2,2,4,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,4,4)$	$D_8 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times D_8$
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	$2^2 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,4)$	$2 \times S_5$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_5$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	S_7
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$D_8 \times S_3$
$(2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2 \times S_4$

5.3.5. D_8. 1 maximal class of groups of order 256.

$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$(((2 \times D_8) : 2) : 3) : 2$

5.4. **Type E.**

5.4.1. E_6. 1 maximal class of groups of order 27.

$(3,3,3)$	$2 \times S_4$

5.4.2. E_7. 1 maximal class of groups of order 128.

$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$PSL(3,2)$

5.4.3. E_8. 1 maximal class of groups of order 256.

$(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)$	$2^3 : PSL(3,2)$

5.5. **Type F.**

5.5.1. F_4. 1 maximal class of groups of order 18.

$(2,3,3)$	2^2

5.6. **Type H.**

5.6.1. H_3. 1 maximal class of groups of order 10.

$(2,5)$	2

5.6.2. H_4. 1 maximal class of groups of order 50.

$(2,5,5)$	D_8

References

[1] Y Agaoka and E. Kaneda. *Strongly orthogonal subsets in root systems.* Hokkaido Math. J. 31 (2002) 107-136.

[2] J. Armstrong, M. Povero and S. Salamon. *Twistor lines on cubic surfaces.* Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino, to appear, arXiv:1212.2851.

[3] J.C. Baez. *The octonions.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 39 (2002), no. 2, 145-205.

[4] A. Borel and J. de Siebenthal. *Les sous-groupes fermées de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos.* Comment. Math. Helv. 23 (1949), 200–221.

[5] A. Borel and J.P. Serre. *Sur certains sous-groupes des groupes de Lie compacts.* Comment. Math. Helv. 27, (1953) 128–139.

[6] N. Bourbaki. *Group et algèbres de Lie.* Ch. 4, 5 et 6, Hermann, Paris (1968).

[7] D. Bump *Lie groups.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 225. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2004. xii+451 pp. ISBN: 0-387-21154-3

[8] J.M. Burns and B. Goldsmith. *Maximal order abelian subgroups of symmetric groups.* Bull. London Math. Soc 21 (1989) 70-72.

[9] R.W. Carter. *Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group.* Compositio Math. 25 (1972), 1-59.

[10] A. Cayley. *On the triple tangent planes of surfaces of the third order.* Cambridge and Dublin Math. J. 4 (1849), 118-138.

[11] B.L. Cerchiai and B. van Geemen. *From qubits to E7.* J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010), no. 12, 122203, 25 pp.

[12] L. Chen, R.V. Moody and J. Patera. *Non-crystallographic root systems.* Quasicrystals and discrete geometry (Toronto, ON, 1995), 135–178, Fields Inst. Monogr., 10, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[13] E. Colombo and B. van Geemen. A family of marked cubic surfaces and the root system D5. Internat. J. Math. 18 (2007), no. 5, 505-525.

[14] E. Colombo and B. van Geemen, The Chow group of the moduli space of marked cubic surfaces. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 183 (2004), no. 3, 291-316.

[15] U. Derenthal. *Universal torsors of del Pezzo surfaces and homogeneous spaces.* Adv. Math. 213 (2007), no. 2, 849-864.

[16] J.D. Dixon. *Maximal abelian subgroups of the symmetric groups.* Canad. J. Math. 23 1971 426–438.

[17] M.J. Duff and S. Ferrara. *E_7 and the tripartite entanglement of seven quibits.* Phys. Rev. D. 76 025018 (2007).

[18] M.J. Duff and S. Ferrara. *E_6 and the bipartite entanglement of three qutrits.* Phys. Rev. D 76 124023 (2007).

[19] W. G. Dwyer and C. W. Wilkerson. *Centers and Coxeter elements.* Contemp. Math. **271** (2001) 53-75.

[20] J. M. Douglass, G.Pfeiffer and G. Rohrle. *On reflection subgroups of finite Coxeter groups.* Comm. Algebra **41** (2013), 2574–2592.

[21] E.B. Dynkin *Semi-simple subalgebras of semi-simple Lie algebras.* A.M.S. Tanslations 6 (1957), 111–244.

[22] A. Fino and S. M. Salamon, *Observations on the topology of symmetric spaces.* Geometry and physics (Aarhus, 1995), 275-286, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., 184, Dekker, New York, 1997.

[23] Meinolf Geck, Gerhard Hiß, Frank Lübeck, Gunter Malle, and Götz Pfeiffer, CHEVIE — *A system for computing and processing generic character tables*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **7** (1996), 175–210.

[24] R.M. Green. *Combinatorics of minuscule representations.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 199. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. viii+320 pp. ISBN: 978-1-107-02624-7.

[25] R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, Jr. Calibrated geometries. Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47?157.

[26] M. Hoffman. *An invariant of finite abelian groups.* Amer. Math. Monthly 94 (1987) 664-666.

[27] J.E. Humphreys. *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory.* Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1972).
[28] T. Kitazawa. *On the cross ratio variety from the viewpoint of the root system of type 3A2.* Osaka J. Math. 45 (2008), no. 1, 91-106.
[29] L.G. Kovacs and C.E Praeger. *Finite permutation groups with large abelian quotients.* Pacific J. Math. 136 (1989), no. 2, 283-292.
[30] B. van Geemen. *A linear system on Naruki's moduli space of marked cubic surfaces.* Internat. J. Math. 13 (2002), no. 2, 183-208.
[31] I. Naruki. *Cross ratio variety as a moduli space of cubic surfaces.* Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 45 (1982), 1–30.
[32] B. Polster *Yea why try her raw wet hat: a tour of the smallest projective space.* Math. Intelligencer 21 (1999), no. 2, 38-43.
[33] H. Rubenthaler. *The Borel-de Siebenthal theorem, the classification of equi-rank groups, and related compact and semi-compact dual pairs.* (English summary) Hokkaido Math. J. 33 (2004), no. 1, 185–205.
[34] C.U. Sanchez, A.L. Cali, J.L. Moreschi. *Spheres in Hermitian symmetric spaces and flag manifolds.* Geom. Dedicata 64 (1997), no. 3, 261–276.
[35] T. A. Springer. *Some groups of type E7.* Nagoya Math. J. 182 (2006), 259-284.
[36] H. Tasaki. *Antipodal sets in oriented real Grassmann manifolds.* Internat. J. Math. 24 (2013), no. 8, 1350061, 28 pp.
[37] J.A. Wolf. *Spaces of Constant Curvature* McGraw-Hill, New York (1967).
[38] J.A. Wolf. *Complex homogeneous contact manifolds and quaternionic symmetric spaces.* J. Math. Mech. 14 1965 1033-1047.

J. M. Burns and G. Pfeiffer
School of Mathematics, Statistics and Applied Mathematics,
National University of Ireland, Galway,
Ireland